



April 18, 2008

1 PM

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Box Comments - Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Re: Questions and requests relating to Patent Term Adjustment Calculation by the USPTO's computer system as displayed on the PAIR screen.

After careful use of the Patent Applicant Information Retrieval (PAIR) screen for displaying the calculated adjustment to the term of the patent for the following three applications 10/695,169; 10/974,786; and 10/020,332, it has come to our attention that the presented data on patent term adjustment as generated by the above computer system from the USPTO are somewhat difficult to interpret and confusing to understand based on the following points:

a) Notice the following information from application no. 10/695,169:

Patent Term Adjustment History		PTO(Days)
Date	Contents Description	
03-19-2008	PTA 36 Months	456
04-08-2008	Patent Issue Date Used in PTA Calculation	
02-28-2008	Dispatch to FDC	
02-28-2008	Application Is Considered Ready for Issue	
02-14-2008	Issue Fee Payment Verified	
02-14-2008	Issue Fee Payment Received	
02-04-2008	Mail Miscellaneous Communication to Applicant	
01-15-2008	Examiner Interview Summary Record (PTOL - 413)	
02-02-2008	Miscellaneous Communication to Applicant - No Action Count	
11-30-2007	Mail Notice of Allowance	
11-28-2007	Notice of Allowance Data Verification Completed	
11-27-2007	Document Verification	
08-28-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner	
08-20-2007	Response after Non-Final Action	
08-20-2007	Request for Extension of Time - Granted	
05-16-2007	Mail Non-Final Rejection	
04-09-2007	Information Disclosure Statement considered	
04-09-2007	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	
04-09-2007	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	

Based on the information presented above for application # 10/695,169, it just does not seem physically possible to come up with 456 days in between the above time entries.

b) Furthermore, as an illustrative example seen from the patent term adjustment record for patent application no. 10/974,786 below, it is not possible to determine the exact number of days for each particular time entry below which makes the total of 156 days because none of the individual blue arrow has any corresponding value attached to it.

10-02-2006	Non-Final Rejection
07-26-2006	Date Forwarded to Examiner
07-19-2006	Response after Non-Final Action
06-02-2006	Mail Non-Final Rejection
05-30-2006	Non-Final Rejection
10-28-2004	Information Disclosure Statement considered
02-01-2005	IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center Complete
02-01-2005	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
10-28-2004	Request for Foreign Priority (Priority Papers May Be Included)
10-28-2004	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
10-28-2004	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
12-07-2004	Application Return from OIPE
12-07-2004	Application Return TO OIPE
12-07-2004	Application Dispatched from OIPE
12-08-2004	Application Is Now Complete
11-29-2004	Cleared by OIPE CSR
11-23-2004	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review
10-28-2004	Initial Exam Team nn

156

Based on the above points, we respectfully request that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (U.S.P.T.O.) kindly provide us with an explanation of why the above record in point a) shows 456 days. In addition, we would like to know if it is possible to include corresponding date values in conjunction to each of the individual blue arrows shown above.

OUR BACKGROUND

The practice of patent law at NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION is primarily focused in the field of patent prosecution in many areas of high technology. Having over sixty patent engineers and patent agents, our firm continually addresses the patent prosecution needs of small, medium, and large companies as well as individual concerns and educational institutions in Taiwan. In addition to our patent practice in prosecuting patent applications before the U.S.P.T.O., our firm is extensively involved in patent prosecution and other transactions bearing on patents and intellectual property rights in Taiwan, and many other countries. Accordingly, our firm and our clients are acutely aware of the

tremendous value for our patents and the need for well-managed patent application /
patent records at the U.S.P.T.O.

Respectfully Submitted,



DING YU TAN

NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION

5F No. 389 Fu-Ho Rd, Yung-Ho City

Taipei Hsien

Taiwan